	Case 2:12-cv-00129-HDM-VCF Document 354 Filed 08/15/14 Page 1 of 1
1	
2	
3	
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5	DISTRICT OF NEVADA
6	
7	KEVIN ALMY, ) 2:12-cv-00129-HDM-VCF
8	Plaintiff, )
9	vs. ORDER
10	D. DAVIS, et al.,
11	Defendant. )
12	Before the court is plaintiff's objection to the court's order
13	of June 6, 2014 (#345). The court issued said order more than two
14	months ago. Although the court denied plaintiff's request to file
15	a motion for medical records in part because plaintiff did not
16	specifically seek records related to injuries suffered as a result
17	of the alleged excessive force incidents, $^{1}$ it also denied the
18	request because discovery had closed. Accordingly, plaintiff's
<ul><li>19</li><li>20</li></ul>	objection (#345) is <b>DENIED</b> as both untimely and without merit.
21	IT IS SO ORDERED.
22	DATED: This 15th day of August, 2014.
23	Howard DMEKiller
24	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
25	

¹The court would note that despite plaintiff's assertion that he could not identify the records related to the incident in his request due to the prescribed page limit, he did list all the other conditions unrelated to the excessive force incidents for which he sought medical records, conditions which clearly do not pertain to this case.